

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,855	06/20/2001	David Alexander Learmonth	AAT-12563	4106	
75	90 05/19/2003				
Rankin, Hill, Porter & Clark LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 700 925 Euclid Avenue			FORD, JOHN M		
Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1624	Ĝ	
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	255	•	/ 🛆 +	
Unice Action Summary Examiner	7-5-	<u> </u>	Learmon	the st
ZAGIIIIIEI		. //	Group Art Unit	
1 J.M	F8	n	1624	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh	neet benea	ath the co	rrespondence ad	ldresș—
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	RF Z	MONTHIS	FROM THE MA	II ING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			, THE ME	ENG DAIL
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statute. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MON. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this conterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	tory minimum ITHS from the cation to be	n of thirty (3 ne mailing da come ABAN	0) days will be considered this communicate of this communicate (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. 133).
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on Manch 24	1, 2	DD5	•	 •
☐ This action is FINAL.				•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O. 	rs, prosec i G. 213.	ution as to	o the merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s) 32-45, 42, 49 and		is/are p	ending in the appl	ication.
Of the above claim(s) 32-45, 45, 49 and	50	is/are w	ithdrawn from cor	nsideration.
□ Claim(s)		_ is/are al	lowed.	
**Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,7 and 9		_ is/are re	jected.	
AClaim(s) 10-3/, 46 and 47 al 5/	75/	is/are ol	ojected to.	
□ Claim(s)			ect to restriction o	or election
Application Papers	· .	requiren		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appro		lisapprove	d.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exam	miner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			•	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)(d).			
Ø All □ Some* □ None of the:			•	
Schertified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applica □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 			•	
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rul			•	
*Certified copies not received:	. "			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interv	iew Summ	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			al Patent Applicat	tion, PTO-152
				• •
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other		· ·	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _____

Art Unit: 1624

Applicants response of March 24, 2003, is noted.

The claims in the application are claims 1, 3, 5--7 and 9--57.

Claims 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103. The method of mixing to make a composition is old since the time of A/chemists working in caves. See Remington Practice of Pharmacy.

37 CFR 1.145 prohibits changing the subject matter once there was an action on the merits. The was no heterocyclic group in claim as filed. Applicants have add not one instance of a heterocyclic group in the present claim 1, but two such instances.

37 CFR 1.145 requires the claims be returned to the scope originally filed and searched.'

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph. The genus now provided has no antecedent basis in the specification as filed. See top of page 4 of the specification.

Claims 3. and 5 are rejected as being dependent on a rejected claim.

Claims 10--31, 46, 47, 51, and 52-57 are objected to as allowable, but dependent on a rejected claim.

Claims 32--45, 48, 49, 50 are directed to heterocyclic instances, not properly before us.

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph. There are several instances of "at least one" in the claim. This opens the claim to further unknown. One or more -- is suggested in lieu of "at least one".

John M. Ford:jmr

May 14, 2003

JOHN M. FORD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dog An Amt 1624